


EXHIBIT I

CONFIDENTIAL

From: **Craig Clark** craig.clark@uber.com
Subject: Re: Explanatory info
Date: May 17, 2016 at 5:14 PM America/Los_Angeles
To: Todd Egeland tegeland@ergo.net
Cc: Nicholas Gicinto nickg@uber.com, Matthew Moneyhon mmoneyhon@ergo.net, Mat Henley mat@uber.com



Thank you

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal Director, Security & Law Enforcement
Mobile: 415.830.2934

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

On Tue, May 17, 2016 at 5:13 PM, <tegeland@ergo.net> wrote:
Craig, yes you will have by opening of business tomorrow.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 17, 2016, at 4:18 PM, Craig Clark <craig.clark@uber.com> wrote:

<<A/C PRIV>>

Todd - Please see below. Can you make sure you've covered all bases on contacts and get it back to me asap? We need well before noon tomorrow.

Thank you

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal Director, Security & Law Enforcement
Mobile: [415.830.2934](tel:4158302934)

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lindsey Haswell** <haswell@uber.com>
Date: Tue, May 17, 2016 at 4:10 PM
Subject: Re: Explanatory info
To: Craig Clark <craig.clark@uber.com>
Cc: Mat Henley <mat@uber.com>

A/C PRIV

Craig,

As I mentioned, we have a call with the court tomorrow on this issue. Can you please get Ergo to send the following info from Ergo asap, please:

- the list of contacts that Ergo provided previously (copy attached) does not include Alexander Hood. We know that they contacted Alex by email on 1/13. We need Ergo to confirm that we are not missing anyone else or any other dates of contact. Please have them double check their info.
- we need the contact info for each person they contacted -- address or phone number.

I need this information to produce it to the other side by noon PST tomorrow.

thanks,
Lindsey

On Wed, Feb 24, 2016 at 10:00 AM, Craig Clark <craig.clark@uber.com> wrote:
Attorney-Client Privileged.

Lindsey- Please see below and attached related to ongoing litigation. Happy to chat further.

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal
Mobile: [415.830.2934](tel:415.830.2934)

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Todd Egeland** <tegeland@ergo.net>
Date: Wed, Feb 24, 2016 at 7:04 AM
Subject: Explanatory info
To: craig.clark@uber.com
Cc: Matthew Moneyhon <moneyhon@ergo.net>

WORK PRODUCT PROTECTED BY ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Mr. Craig Clark
Legal Counsel
Uber Technologies
1455 Market St
4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Craig:

The following and attached are a summary of our work on the price-fixing lawsuit filed against the CEO. This report contains Confidential and/or Privileged information and is protected under Attorney-Client Work Product Privilege.

Attached is a spreadsheet laying out with whom we spoke and the date(s) of the interaction. Please note that the second page contains the specific names of the contacts.

I additionally went back to our investigator to inquire again whether he made any

inquires about opposing counsel. He said that while he never actually had a discussion regarding opposing counsel, he did reach out to one of his previous colleagues in Colorado to ask some general questions. The colleague asked for written questions, and our investigator sent the attached vanilla questions. Obviously, this is the incident that is causing the response from opposing counsel.

I do want to assure you that we have safeguards in place (and training) that should have prevented our investigator from going beyond the scope of the project or sending Ergo-identified questions to a potential interviewee. This will not happen again, and we will certainly seek guidance to fully understand the risk levels and how far you wish for us to push primary sources in the future.

Let me know if you wish for any further clarifications.

All my best,
Todd

Todd Egeland
Managing Partner
tel +1 703 332 1911

Ergo
122 East 55th St.
New York, NY 10022
tel +1 212 421 4035
www.ergo.net